Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
AUG 20 1979
MICHAEL RODAK, JR., CLERK

79-513

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

Associated closely related cases appealed from the Iowa Supreme Court in Notice of Appeal filed therein on date of April 19, 1979

Royal D. Jennings, Plaintiff-Appellant
appearing pro se

Route 2
Winfield, Iowa 52659

John Carlin, Darbyshire, Vincent Petrucelli
counsel for specific Defendant-Appellees
419 Union Arcade Building
Davenport, Iowa 52801

John C Stevens, David LaFontaine
counsel for specific Defendant-Appellees
411 Laurel Building
Muscatine, Iowa 52761

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT REVISED PER CLERK OFFICE INSTRUCTION FOR BETTER LEGIBILITY

jhl

NO. 79-513 ASX | TITLE Royal D. Jennings, Appellant v. Robert F. Moore & Larry Jennings, et al.

Conspiracy to continue fraudulent acquisition of assets of parents

DOCKETED 8-20-79

APPEAL

COURT Supreme Courtof Iowa
No.2-62943 4-10-79 No.2-63341 4-10-79
No.2-62693 4-6-79 No.2-63077 4-9-79
No.2-62694 4-6-79

		FOR APPELLANT	ASSIGNED:	ARGUED:	ACTION
		pro se:			
STATEMENT SERVED	9-26	Royal D. Jennings, Route 2, Winfield, Iowa 52659			REMARKS
MOTION TO DISMISS OR AFFIRM DUE	10-26				7-11-79 Ext gr to
FILED					8-20-79 by J.Black-mun (CITED)
WAIVER					28 USC 1257(2)
OPPOSITION DUE					Notice of appeal ~~filed 4-19-79~~
FILED					40 typed JSs rec'd ~~8-20-79;retd for re-~~
WAIVED					~~printing. 40 new copie~~ ~~recd 9-26-79~~
DISTRIBUTED					(see over)
		FOR APPELLEE:			
JURISDICTION NOTED		John Carlin, 419 Union Arcade Bldg., Davenport, Iowa 52801			
POSTPONED		~~John C. Stevens & David LaFontaine, 411 Laurel Bldg., Muscatine, Iowa 52761~~			
REHEARING FILED					
GRANTED					
DENIED					

CO-71

NOTE: Cases have all been disposed of in the Iowa Supreme Courtfor want of prosecution:

62943 - 8/13/79
62693 - 6-27-79
62694 - 6-27-79
63341 - 6-27-79
63077 - 5-8-79 Clk's Office will send us copies of orders

Supreme Ct Iowa	2-62943	4-10-79	7/9	v.Robert F. Moore & Larry Jennings
	2-62693	4-6-79	7/5	v.J.M.Jennings
	2-62694	4-6-79	7/5	v. ~~V.M.Jennings~~ E.A. Hicklin et al & Eileen Jenning
	2-63341	4-10-79	7/	v.Vivan M. Jennings, et al
	2-63077	4-9 & 10-79	7/	v.Vivan M. Jennings

Notice of Appeal filed 7/19/79

62943
63093
62694
63077
63341

2-62943 dismiss for want of prosecution 8/13/79
dism 11/11
93
94
41
Rule 19 6-27-79
" 6-27-79
" 6-27-79

2-63077 dism for want of prosecution 5-8-79

Iowa Supreme Court will send us copies of the final orders in all 5 cases - 10-4-79

I N D E X

PAGE 7 ---- Reports of opinions delivered in the courts below (attached to back of this Jurisdictional Statement)

PAGE 7-26 - Statement of the grounds on which Jurisdiction of United States Supreme Court is invoked

PAGE 9 ---- Date of and judgment orders decrees sought to be reviewed

PAGE 11 --- Statutory provisions believed to confer on U.S. Supreme Court jurisdiction of appeal

PAGE 15 --- Cases believed to sustain the jurisdiction

PAGE 16 --- Validity of specific United States Statutes involved

PAGE 17 --- Further questions presented by Appeal

PAGE 17 --- A concise statement of associated closely related cases combined in this jurisdictional statement

PAGE 19 --- Grounds upon which it is contended that federal questions are substantial and require plenary consideration including matters in which it is contended that the lower court has abused its discretion by actions

PAGE 27-8 - Affidavit of service

PAGE a-p -- Copy of opinions delivered upon rendering of judgment order decree

PAGE p-w -- Copy of Notice of Appeal to United States Supreme Court filed on date of April 19, 1979 in lower Iowa Supreme Court

ROYAL D JENNINGS) Iowa Supreme Court
Plaintiff-Appellant) #2-62943 ✓
vs) Henry County Iowa Dist-
ROBERT F MOORE &) rict Court relevant
LARRY JENNINGS) associated case #7483
Defendant-Appellees) appeal of Iowa Supreme
Court adverse to Plain-

tiff-Appellant parts of Court order filed April 10, 1979 and to each and all other adverse to Plaintiff, court & clerk thereof orders in said #7483, #2-62943 that effectively prevent appellate procedure in Iowa Supreme Court.

– – – –

ROYAL D JENNINGS) Iowa Supreme Court
Plaintiff-Appellant) #62693 or #2-62693
vs)Louisa County Iowa Dis-
J.M. JENNINGS)trict Court relevant
Defendant-Appellee)associated case #15107
appeal of alleged De-
fault Calendar Entry

Appeal of Iowa Supreme Court adverse to Plaintiff-Appellant parts of Court order filed April 6, 1979 and to each and all other adverse to Plaintiff, court & clerk thereof orders in said #15107, #62693 or #2-62693 that effectively prevent appellate procedure in Iowa Supreme Court.

ROYAL D JENNINGS) Iowa Supreme Court
Plaintiff-Appellant) #62694 or #2-62694
vs) Louisa County Iowa
V.M. JENNINGS &) District Court Case
EILEEN JENNINGS) #15208 Division I
Defendant-Appellees) Appeal of Iowa Supreme
there appears irreg-) Court adverse to
ular defendant and) Plaintiff-Appellant
court designation of) parts of Court order
defendant not part of) filed April 6, 1979
said Division and a-) and to each and all
voidance of designat-) other adverse to
ion of Division no) Plaintiff, court &
I that designates) clerk thereof orders
that circumstance) in said #15208 Div I

#62694 or #2-62694 that effectively prevent appellate procedure in Iowa Supreme Court

– – – –

ROYAL D JENNINGS) Iowa Supreme Court
Plaintiff-Appellant) #2-63077
vs) Louisa County Iowa Dis-
VIVAN M JENNINGS) trict Court relevant
Defendant-Appellee) case #15429

Appeal of Iowa Supreme Court adverse to Plaintiff-Appellant parts of court & clerk order & of ruling filed April 9 & 10, 1979 and to

each and all other adverse to Plaintiff court and clerk thereof orders and rulings in said #15429, #2-63077 that effectively prevent appellate procedure in Iowa Supreme Court.

– – – –

ROYAL D JENNINGS) Iowa Supreme Court
Plaintiff-Appellant) further irregularly
vs) designated #15429 'B'
VIVAN M JENNINGS) as their #2-63341
Defendant-Appellee) Louisa County Iowa Dis-
and irregularly a-) trict Court Plaintiff's
lleged by defendant) amended Petition case
and court) alleged by clerk and
VIVAN M JENNINGS) court personell as 'B'
Cross-Petitioner) only petition, but now
vs) appearing irregularly
ROYAL D JENNINGS) in Defendant-Appellee
Cross-Defendant) and court documents as
number of different pe-
tition and case #15429

Appeal of Iowa Supreme Court adverse to Plaintiff-Appellant parts of court and clerk thereof order filed April 10, 1979 and to each and all other adverse to Plaintiff, court and clerk thereof orders and rulings in said alphabetically designated 'B' petition said irregularly designated 15429

'B' that effectively prevent appellate procedure in Iowa Supreme Court.

– – – – –

ROYAL D JENNINGS) Iowa Supreme Court
Plaintiff-Appellant) further irregularly de-
vs) signated 15429 'C' as
VIVAN M JENNINGS) their #2-63341
Defendant-Appellee) Louisa County Iowa Dis-
& irregularly al-) trict Court Plaintiff's
leged by defendant) amended Petition of
and court) Joinder of claims and
VIVAN M JENNINGS) causes of action, etc.
Cross-Petitioner) alleged by clerk and
vs) court personell as 'C'
ROYAL D JENNINGS) only petition but now
Cross-Defendant) appearing irregularly
in Defendant-Appellee

and court documents as the number of different petition and case #15429
Appeal of Iowa Supreme Court adverse to Plaintiff-Appellant parts of court and clerk thereof order filed April 10, 1979 and to each and all other adverse to Plaintiff, court and clerk thereof orders and rulings in said alphabetically designated 'C' that effectively prevent appellate procedure in Iowa Supreme Court.

REPORTS OF THE OPINIONS DELIVERED IN THE COURT BELOW:

All available reports of opinions are appended hereto and include the following Iowa Supreme Court numbered, associated closely related cases concerned in conspiracy numbered as 2-62693, 2-62943, 2-62694, 2-63341, 2-63077, 2-63341; said orders dated April 10 and August 13, 1979, April 6, 1979, April 6, 1979, April 10, 1979, April 9 & 10, 1979, April 10, 1979 respectively.

The nature of proceedings and the statutes pursuant to which they are brought include that Plaintiff has been kept from timely obtaining written contract requested transcript from specific official court reporter notes of proceedings for such transcripts timely use and said transcripts were necessary for resolvement of said associated closely related cases concerned in conspiracy that furthers and promotes exploitive and fraudulent acquisition of large investments and assets of Plaintiff's late parents and Plaintiff and apparently that appears to have further prevented obtaining all apparent transcripts affecting all said associated closely related cases appealed from and further prevented effective appellate procedures in the Iowa Supreme Court

and said orders appealed from appear to continue efforts concerned in said conspiracy that continue preventing the bringing together of all matters, specific Defendants and apparent Defendants in specific instances fraudulently deleted apparent Defendants of associated closely related cases appealed from by Plaintiff-Appellant and further appearing to continue furthering said conspiracy and preventing the bringing together of all said cases and subsequently prevented bringing together all transcripts concerned and effectively preventing any corrective resolvement of said conspiracy coverup and concealment of various specific United States Statutes and laws alleged violated without effective investigation thereof said conspiracy appearing to be continuing over several years, exceeding thirteen years, with extensive knowledge thereof made known to all alleged traditional or designated corrective organizations regarding all specific officers of court personell participating in and alleged by specific counsel appearing to be furthering and promoting said conspiracy and specifically made known to all Honorable Iowa Supreme Court Justices earlier in said conspiracy, but that alleged efforts appearing to conceal and coverup

said conspiracy utilizing various specific criminal violations including, but not limited to specific U.S.C.A. United States title 18 statutes sections 371, 656, 2113, 1005, 1001 and U.S.C.A. United States title 28 statutes sections 1343, 1257, 2403; counsel in improper legal representation of Plaintiff in specific perpetrating intrinsic fraud and of other specific extrinsic fraud appearing perpetrated upon various specific courts appears unresolved and prudently and reasonably appearing to benefit and has benefitted specific Defendants and consistently against Plaintiff's interests and against the interest of justice or in the alternative, intimidation, coercion of specific courts appearing to further said conspiracy coverup and concealment thereof and of said utilized criminal violations of specific United States Statutes that further said conspiracy continuing to the present time, the success of said conspiracy still being sought.

Date of judgments, orders, decrees sought to be reviewed include Iowa Supreme Court numbered associated closely related cases concerned in said conspiracy numbered as: 2-62943, 2-62693, 2-62694, 2-63341, 2-63077, 2-63341; said orders entered and filed on dates of April 10 and August 13, 1979, April

6, 1979, April 6, 1979, April 10, 1979, April 9 & 10, 1979, April 10, 1979 respectively.

Apparent efforts of specific Iowa Supreme Court personell appearing to irregularly obtain Plaintiff permission for continued presentation of appeals in that court when all said associated closely related cases concerned in said conspiracy had been as of April 19, 1979 by notice of appeal filed in the Iowa Supreme Court for the notice of appeal to the United States Supreme Court of all said cases and it appeared that all subsequent appellate procedure subsequent to said Notice of Appeal to the United States Supreme Court filing date of April 19, 1979 would necessarily be in the United States Supreme Court and the lower Iowa Supreme Court personell were repeatedly informed thereof; however it appears that advantage was taken of Plaintiff-Appellant's appearing as attempting to cause said irregular further participation therein and apparent irregular judgment orders, decrees made, but not available to Plaintiff, (with the exception of an apparent irregular undated document entitled 'Notice of Dismissal' pursuant to rule 19, R.App.P. of associated case #2-63077, signed by the clerk Iowa Supreme Court and

enclosed in an envelope bearing postmark of May nine, 1979 and obviously prepared and not dated and mailed several days after April 19, 1979 Notice of Appeal of all said associated cases to the United States Supreme Court and copy is appended herewith) resulting from specific irregularities beyond control of Plaintiff-Appellant, preventing obtaining all said transcripts concerning all said cases appealed and necessary for any correct resolvement of said conspiracy utilizing said various specific criminal violations of United States Statutes and violations of other specific United States Statutes.

The statutory provisions believed to confer on this court jurisdiction of the appeal as follows include, but not limited to U.S.C.A. title 18 sections 371, 656, 2113, 1005, 1001 statutes; U.S.C.A. TItle 28, section 1343, 1257, 2403 statutes.
U.S.C.A. title 18 section 371 statute is very long and extensive in regard to criminal conspiracy and explicitly sets forth that it has not been the intent of the U.S. Congress in cases involving conspiracy and violations of other United States Statutes for application of statute of limitation tolling dates for starting specific actions against Defendants and that tolling dates for statute of

limitations for starting specific actions under said #371 conspiracy statute does not begin to run as long as such conspiracy has not been abandoned or the sucess of said conspiracy is pursued; the subsequent U. S. Statutes, 656, 2113, 1005, 1001, alleged by counsel, appear to apply to fraud and use of deception and fraud, furthering exploitive fraudulent acquisition and destruction of Plaintiff-Appellant/s late parents, Plaintiff-Appellant's family and Plaintiff-Appellant investments and assets, utilizing said specific criminal violations of specific United States Statutes prudently and reasonably appearing to benefit and has benefitted specific Defendants and consistently against interests of Plaintiff, his late parents and his family, said 1005 statute specifically deals with deceptive use of banking facilities, alterations of bank documents appearing to further said conspiracy and benefitting specific Defendants and specific associated, but consistently against Plaintiff-Appellant, his late parents, his family interests appearing in flagrant and wanton violation of said 1005 U.S.C.A. title 18 statute; that said 1001 U. S.C.A. title 18 statute specifically applies to apparent subornation of perjury forced upon Plaintiff's late father appearing to

conceal his efforts toward effective resolvement of said conspiracy and explicitly the violations of said #1005 statutes etc. in reported testimony, also revealing altered transcript appearing to fit the purposes of said expanding conspiracy with court system personell ineffective resolvement of such said early various indications of not only utilizing alleged and verified intimidation of local, state and federal law enforcement personell, but also of the various specific court systems personell in the furthering of said conspiracy, coverup and concealment of various specific criminal violations of specific United States Statutes but not limited thereto with extensive knowledge and information made known to all those specific law enforcement personell and specific court system personell concerned with said associated closely related cases concerned in said conspiracy herein appealed to the United States Supreme Court; U.S.C.A. title 28, section 1343, 1257 statutes respectively are involved in constitutional rights violations of Plaintiff, his late parents and his family, violated in said expanding conspiracy continuing to the present time and include failure of law enforcement personell to provide equal protection of

the law; statute #2403 has been drawn into question and further by knowledge made known to Plaintiff-Appellant of apparent intent to not allow specific information regarding said conspiracy, coverup and concealment of criminal violations of specific said United States Statutes to be forwarded to final destination although initially forwarded by Plaintiff-Appellant and received by intermediaries, facts that are to be certified to the U.S. Attorney General that constitutionality of such acts of Congress were drawn into question, that validity of specific statutes of the United States are drawn in question by the highest state court orders in which a decision could be had and that said appeals from Iowa Supreme Court present grounds upon which Federal questions are substantial and that said state court has abused its discretion indicating necessary plenary consideration by the United States Supreme Court and sepecially in view of apparent lack of any effective resolvement by designated or traditional regulatory organizations charged with such said responsibility for resolvement of all apparent extensive fraudulent, deceptive, improper conduct of specific court system personell and specific flagrant, wanton improper legal

representation appearing involved in clearance and in furthering said coverup and concealment of various specific criminal violation of said specific United States Statutes and specific U.S. Constitutional rights of Plaintiff, his late parents and his family; and furthering said expanding conspiracy continuing to the present time, the success of said conspiracy still being sought, having been apparent for several years and beyond thirteen years and back to first known instance of specific officer of court personell alleged and verified utilization and perpetrating exploitive fraudulent influence of intimidation and coercion seducing Plaintiff's late parents into making false statements in alleged method proposed to further the fraudulent avoidance of federal tax obligations allegedly benefitting specific Defendant concerned in associated cases and appears to be starting point of said exploitive fraudulent intimidation scheme concerned in said conspiracy continuing to the present time, the success of said conspiracy still being sought .

Plaintiff-Appellant has not had sufficient time to review prior to filing Jurisdictional Statement, cases for basis that are similar due to such apparent notorious extensive

utilization of the coverup and concealing all said criminal violations of U.S. Statues and other statutes and expanding conspiracy therein involving such extensive fraud, appearing to not have precedent, but appearing to extensively affect the public interest and appearing perpetrated upon specific state and federal courts as appears concerned or in the alternative success of said conspiracy, intimidation, coercion of specific courts, juries from friendly to specific Defendant associates jury panel appearing to, by concealed methods, further said conspiracy and the utilization of extensive criminal destruction of Plaintiff's family property, fraudulent exploitation of Plaintiff-Appellant's late parents, Plaintiff's family, Plaintiff's investments and assets, appearing to utilize specific legal documents and procedures in such manner that furthers said conspiracy and said conspiracy involves amounts far exceeding a statutory amount for appellate procedure in the United States Supreme Court and appearing to approach near one million dollars.

That the validity of United States U.S.C.A. title 18 section 371, 656, 2113, 1005, 1001 statutes and United States U.S.C.A. title 28 section 1343, 1257, 2403 statutes but not limited thereto, are involved and

drawn into question.

Further questions presented by the appeal appear under the foregoing heading and explained more fully in the United States Supreme Court Clerk Office required revised Plaintiff-Appellant application for 60-day extension of time to Docket Appeals, Jurisdictional Statement etc. that was by affidavit certificated filed July 5, 1979 by mailing to United States Supreme Court Clerk office and the content of said revised application for extension of time to docket appeals, etc. is included by this reference in this Jurisdictional Statement as if fully set forth herein.

A CONCISE STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The associated closely related cases concerned in said conspiracy result from a primary major objective and cause appearing generated for the fraudulent exploitive intimidation of Plaintiff's late parents, his family and Plaintiff for fraudulent illegal acquisition of large amounts of investments and assets appearing to benefit specific Defendants and consistently against the interests of Plaintiff, his late parents and his family; the success of said conspiracy has been furthered by other specific Defendants and apparent Defendants who appear

to have joined said conspiracy at specific times; that said conspiracy appears furthered by extensive utilization of said criminal activities including, alleged by former counsel, violations of said specific United States Statutes and including, but not limited to acknowledged verified apparent intent to utilize criminal destruction of Plaintiff's family property by specific Defendant employee and alleged Defendants and apparent Defendants fraudulently deleted by apparent improper legal representation by Plaintiff's former specific counsel concealing basic causes for action from specific said cases concerned furthered by utilization of extensive alleged intimidation, coercion preventing effective investigations of said criminal violations of statutes and laws that further said conspiracy, and by apparent intimidation, said unresolved intrinsic and extrinsic fraud perpetrated on various specific court systems and upon this Plaintiff and coercion of specific court systems personell and use of specific deleted jury instructions further covering up and concealing criminal violations of statutes and laws affecting all said cases and further promoting said expanding conspiracy and effectively per-

petrating fraud upon specific court systems and this Plaintiff-Appellant, and the foregoing further questions presented paragraph that includes by reference therein as if fully set forth said document filed July 5, 1979 being the requested by clerk personell, revised application for extension of time to docketappeals etc. the content therein also explains more fully this concise statement of said associated cases concerned in said conspiracy.

Grounds upon which it is contended the Federal questions are substantial requiring plenary consideration, merits thereof, matters where the Iowa Supreme Court has abused its discretion with judgment orders decree shown and are apended as is also the Notice of Appeal to the United St tes Supreme Court filed therein Iowa Supreme Court Clerk office on April 19, 1979.

Appended to the Jurisdictional Statement are judgment orders decree and copy of notice of appeal to the United States Supreme Court filed in lower Iowa Supreme Court on April 19, 1979 said judgment orders decree in the associated closely related cases concerned in said conspiracy as follows: issuing court, the Supreme Court of Iowa, Royal D Jennings, Pltf-Applt vs Robert F Moore and Larry Jen-

nings, Deft-Appellees no 2-62943, (Henry County, Iowa District Court no. 7483) orders filed April 10 and August 13, 1979 respectively; issuing court, the Supreme Court of Iowa Royal D Jennings Pltf-Applt. vs J.M. Jennings Deft-Appellee no. 2-62693 Louisa County Iowa no. 15107, included in same order under #2-62694 and described as Royal D Jennings Pltf-Applt vs E.A. Hicklin, etal, (the only defendants left therein, not irregularly excluded are Division I Defendant-Appellees Vivan M. Jennings & Eileen Jennings: Division III thereof included additional defendants deceptively and fraudulently kept by specific courts from effective resolvement of that part of said conspiracy with the other concerned said associated closely related cases throughout specific court systems proceedings as well as efforts of specific courts previously made knowledgable of said conspiracy criminal violations utilized by specific defendants, yet specific court proceeded to prevent timely supplementary pleadings in specific associated closely related case that would clearly bring into view extensive improper legal representation and expose to jury coverup and concealment of specific criminal vio-

lation of specific U.S. Statutes and laws and other statutes and utilization thereof in furthering said conspiracy further coverup and concealment of all said criminal violations of U.S. Statutes and other statutes and expanding conspiracy appeared flagrantly and wantonly concealed in the deceptive deletion thereof from jury instructions carrying out the coverup and concealment of said criminal violations and furthering said conspiracy and by so doing the specific court concealed it all from the jury; many previous instances and also in other associated cases appear indicating specific court intentions to further said coverup and concealment of all intimidated personell ineffective investigation of said criminal violations of specific said U.S. Statutes and appear to have joined in furthering the success of said generated expanding conspiracy), #2-62694 Louisa Co. no. 15208 (actually after said deceptive fraudulent exclusions appearing to further said conspiracy is only Division I thereof Henry County, Iowa District Court #7658 apparent deceptively deleted by improper legal representation Division I thereof said # 7658 transferred to Louisa County as #7658-15208 Division I, Iowa Supreme Court order

#2-62694 and both combined order filed April 6, 1979; issuing court, the Supreme Court of Iowa, Royal D Jennings Pltf-Applt. vs Vivan M Jennings Executor of Boyd B Jennings estate, Probate no 13277 Deft-Appellee, #2-63077 order filed April 9, 1979; issuing court, the Iowa Supreme Court Royal D Jennings vs Vivan M Jennings, Executor, Olive L. Jennings Estate deceptively and fraudulently by specific improper legal representation kept concealed from any resolvement until specific Defendant and specific Defendant associates seizing upon alleged statute of limitation tolling date for starting resolvement of said estate by irregular produced alleged last will and testment she appeared to have been caused to sign under relentless continued alleged duress and intimidation allegedly designated by clerk a 'B' case only per alleged judge instructions and said case not be given a consecutive number as customary per Iowa Rules of Civil Procedure; subsequent similarly alleged designated case 'C' only applied to Petition for Joinder of Claims and Causes of Action of said estate cases the Iowa Supreme Court no. 2-63077 Louisa County no. 15429 case with said 'B' only designated case as concerned in said expanding conspiracy, Iowa Supreme

Court appears to include said 'C' only designation Petition for Joinder of Claims, Causes for Action also in their #2-63341 appeal no.; the issuing court, Iowa Supreme Court #2-62943, Henry County #7483, order filed April 10, 1979 appended hereto; also appended hereto is alleged Iowa Suprem Court Notice of Dismissal pursuant to Rule 19 R. app.p. not showing any date thereon signed by clerk alleging same as a procendendo citing matters referring to obtaining transcripts for appellate that initially and continued apparent intent to deceptively keep from timely use of Plaintiff for any proper or effective presentation of all said associated cases closely related, concerned in said expanding conspiracy and further appearing deceptively kept from apparent necessary use in all appellate procedure presentation of Plaintiff-Appellant said associated closely related cases concerned together in said conspiracy that the Iowa Supreme Court has been informed of the various said developing improper legal aspects including apparent improper withholding of transcripts necessary for effective presentation of cases and for said appeals thereof concerned in said conspiracy and specifically of said preventing of effective presentation of Plaintiff-Appellant appeals of all said associated close-

ly related cases to the Iowa Supreme Court and with all that information timely made known to the Iowa Supreme Court, their appearing to not take effective resolvement measures regarding extensive improper legal representation, abuse of legal principles, and ethics perpetrated upon various specific courts including federal courts and this Plaintiff-Appellant, his late parents and his family for over the past thirteen years, expanding conspiracy and the specific pursued success thereof that has appeared to have clearance for the success of said conspiracy in various specific instances as indicated and can be shown upon any intention on resolvement of said conspiracy as it appears concerning the specific court systems personell; said undated notice of dismissal of Royal D Jennings, Pltf-Applt vs Vivan M Jennings, executor Deft-Appellee, Iowa Supreme Court #2-63077, (being Louisa Co. case no. 15429 only) was received in mail in an envelope from said clerk of Iowa Supreme Court bearing postmark of May nine, 1979, appearing to proceed further and utilize said apparent improper withholding of specific early transcripts concerning said associated closely related cases appealed from further rendering ineffective any appellate procedure in Iowa

Supreme Court; this is followed by Iowa Supreme Court issued order dated August 13, 1979 just received in mail, entitled Royal D. Jennings Pltf-Applt vs Robert F. Moore and Larry Jennings Deft-Appellees allegedly dismissing their appeal no. 2-62943, Henry Co. #7483 for alleged failure to prosecute, but to obtain a forum for effective proper resolvement of said associated closely related cases concerned in said conspiracy said necessary Notice of Appeal to the United States Supreme Court was filed April 19, 1979 in the lower Iowa Supreme Court and it appears, as they have repeatedly been informed, that it appears that Plaintiff-Appellant proceding to prosecute said associated cases closely related together concerned in said conspiracy after said Notice of Appeal thereof to the United States Supreme Court after said April 19, 1979 filing date thereof, that further proceedings therein and thereof Plaintiff-Appellant appear to be in the United States Supreme Court and the resolvement of alleged utilized criminal violations of said United States U.S.C.A. title 18 section 371, 656, 2113, 1005, 1001 and including violations of title 28 statutes

sections 1343, 1257, 2403 appearing to be substantial and requiring plenary considerations.

Royal D. Jennings

Royal Jennings pro se
Plaintiff-Appellant
Route 2
Winfield, Iowa 52659

Counsel for Defendant-Appellees as follows:
John Carlin, Darbyshire, Vincent Petrucelli
419 Union Arcade Building
Davenport, Iowa 52801

John C. Stevens, David LaFontaine
411 Laurel Building
Muscatine, Iowa 52761

ORDERS APPENDIX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF IOWA

ROYAL D. JENNINGS,
Plaintiff-Appellant
vs
ROBERT F. MOORE and
LARRY JENNINGS,
Defendant-Appellees.

No. 2-62943

O R D E R

Defendants-appellees' motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute has been considered and is hereby ruled upon by Justices LeGrand, Uhlenhopp, and Harris pursuant to Iowa R. App. P. 22(d). No resistance has been filed within the time provided in Iowa R. App. P. 22(c).

On April 10, 1979, this court entered an interlocutory order which provided, in part, "Times for further proceedings which are usually computed from the date of docketing shall be computed from April 30, 1979." Accordingly, appellant's brief was due on June 19, 1979, fifty days after April 30. See Iowa R. App. 13(a). On July 11, 1979, defendants served the instant motion to dismiss. Appellant's brief has still not been filed.

Defendants' motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute is hereby sustained

for reasons stated in paragraph 3 thereof. The above captioned appeal is hereby ordered dismissed for want of prosecution. See Iowa R. App. P. 13(f) and 19.

Done this 13th day of August 1979.

THE SUPREME COURT OF IOWA

By /s/

K. David Harris, Justice

Copies to:

Royal D. Jennings
Route 2
Winfield, Iowa 52659

Vincent R. Petrucelli
P. O. Box 218
Davenport, Iowa 52805

Clerk of District Court
Henry County Courthouse
Mount Pleasant, Iowa 52641

* * * * * *

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
STATE OF IOWA

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO RULE 19, R. APP. P.

Royal D. Jennings
Plaintiff-appellant

vs

Vivan M. Jennings
Defendant-appellee

No, 2-63077

Appellant in the above-captioned case failed to comply with this court's order of April

9, 1979, by failing to file the court reporter's transcript with the trial court clerk within the time in Iowa R. App.P. Rule 10(b) and 10(a).

Appellant fialed to cure this default within eighteen days after service of the notice of default.

The above-captioned appeal is hereby dismissed pursuant to Iowa R.App.P. 19, for want of prosecution.

/s/

R.K. Richardson
Clerk Iowa Supreme Court

Certified copy to district court clerk as the procedendo.

Copies to: John Stevens
David LaFontaine
411 Laurel Bldg.
Muscatine, Iowa 52751

Clerk District Court
Louisa Co. Courthouse
Wapello, Iowa 52653

Royal D. Jennings
Route 2
Winfield, Iowa 52659

* * * * * *

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF IOWA

ROYAL D. JENNINGS,)
Plaintiff-Appellant,)
vs.)
VIVAN M. JENNINGS, et al.,)
Defendants-Appellees.)

FILED
APR 10, 1979

No. 2-63341

O R D E R

After consideration by this court, plaintiff's motions to combine appeals are hereby sustained and granted to the following extent only: The appeals based upon notices of appeal filed by plaintiff in Louisa County no. 15429 on or about March 2, 1979; in Louisa County no. 15429B on or about March 2 and March 8, 1979; and in Louisa County no. 15429C on or about March 2 and March 8, 1979, ane hereby ordered consolidated for purposes of docketing preparation of the appendix, briefing and submission. All future papers filed in these consolidated cases shall be captioned as shown above and shall bear the docket number shown above.

Plaintiff's motions for enlargement of time to file transcripts and docket appeals and to stay further proceedings are hereby sustained and granted to the following extent: Plaintiff shall (1) cause all transcripts for the appeals consolidated above to be filed with the trial court clerk on or before June 18, 1979, and (2) pay the docket fee to this court's clerk on or before June 18, 1979. Any application for further enlargement of time will not be granted except under the most unusual and compleeing circumstances.

Plaintiff's motions for this court to order effective investigations of alleged

coverup and concealment of criminal, fraudulent violations, felonies and conspiracy affecting and concerning all cases presently appealed are hereby overruled and denied because this court lacks jurisdiction to grant the relief requested.

Done this 10 day of April, 1979

/s/ Robert C. Allbee
Justice-Iowa Supreme Court

Copies to:

Royal D. Jennings
Route 2
Winfield, Iowa 52659

John C. Stevens
411 Laurel Building
Muscatine, Iowa 52761

Hicklin & Matthews
326 Van Buren Street
Wapello, Iowa 52653

* * * * * *

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF IOWA

ROYAL D. JENNINGS, Plaintiff-Appellant,	)	FILED APR 10 1979
vs.	)	
ROBERT F. MOORE and LARRY JENNINGS, Defendants-Appellees	)	No. 2-62943 O R D E R

This matter comes to the court's attention upon plaintiff-appellant's motion to combine

appeals and for other relief.

On January 2, 1979, plaintiff in Henry County no. 7483 filed notice of appeal. On January 5, 1979, plaintiff filed by mail a certificate stating that he had ordered the transcript on January 5, 1979.

On February 8, 1979, plaintiff filed by mail an application for extension of time to file transcript and to docket appeal. On February 15, 1979, this court's deputy clerk entered an order granting plaintiff to and including March 19, to file the transcript and docket the appeal.

On March 9, 1979, plaintiff filed a second notice of appeal. This notice of appeal included the following: "Apparently no hearing was specifically held regarding said February 13, 1979 filed order appealed from so transcript thereof would not be available as pltf.-appellant hereby certifies."

On March 10, 1979, plaintiff filed by mail the instant motion to combine appeals and for other relief. On March 22 defendants filed by mail a resistance to appellant's application to consolidate appeals. On March 28 the first appeal was docketed. On March 28 plaintiff filed by mail a reply to the resistance.

After considdration by this court, plaintiff's motion to combine appeals is hereby

sustained and granted to the following extent only: The appeals based upon notices of appeal filed by plaintiff in Henry County no. 7483 on January 2 and March 9, 1979, are hereby ordered consolidated for purposes of docketing, preparation of the appendix, briefing and submission. All future papers filed in these consolidated appeals shall be captioned as shown above and shall bear the docket number shown above.

Since no additional transcript is necessary for the second appeal, a lengthy extension of time should not be necessary. Plaintiff's motion for enlargement of time to file transcripts and docket appeals and to stay further proceedings is hereby sustained and granted to the following extent: Plaintiff shall cause the transcript to be filed with the trial clerk on or before April 30, 1979. Times for further proceedings which are usually computed from the date of docketing shall be computed from April 30, 1979. A second docket fee is not required.

Plaintiff's motion for this court to order effective investigations thereof alleged coverup and concealment of criminal, fraudulent violations, felonies and conspiracy affecting and concerning all cases presently appealed is hereby overruled and denied because this court lacks jurisdiction to order

the relief requested.

Done this 10 day of April, 1979.

/S/ Robert C Allbbee

Justice - Iowa Supreme Court

Copies to:

Royal D. Jennings
Route 2
Winfield, Iowa 52659

Vincent R. Petrucelli
700 Union Arcade Building
P.O. Box 218
Davenport, Iowa 52805

* * * * * *

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF IOWA

ROYAL D. JENNINGS, Plaintiff-Appellant, vs. VIVAN M. JENNINGS, Executor of BOYD B. JENNINGS ESTATE, Probate #13277, Defendant Appellee	FILED APR 9 1979 No. 2-63077 O R D E R

On January 25, 1979, plaintiff in Louisa County no. 15429 filed notice of appeal captioned as shown above. On February 2, 1979, plaintiff filed by mail a certificate of ordering transcript stating that he ordered a transcript from Michael Hand on February 2, 1979.

On February 26, 1979, plaintiff filed by

mail an application for extension of time to file transcript and to docket appeal. On March 5, 1979, defendant filed a resistance to the application alleging plaintiff had failed to make satisfactory arrangements for payment of the transcript costs as required by Iowa R.App.P. 10(b).

On March 9, 1979, this court entered an order that this court would consider the application after receiving the court reporter's confirmation that satisfactory arrangements had been made for payment of transcript costs. Plaintiff was granted 20 days to make such arrangements. The order further noted that failure to make arrangements might result in dismissal of the appeal.

On March 9, 1979, plaintiff filed by mail a lengthy reply to defendant-appellee's resistance to the application for extension of time. Nowhere in the reply does plaintiff allege that he had tendered $1,350.00, the estimated cost of the transcript, to the court reporter.

More than 20 days have elapsed since this court's order of March 9, 1979. To date, no confirmation has been received from the court reporter that satisfactory arrangements have been made for payment of transcript costs. This court has, however,

received confirmation to the contrary. See letter from Michael W. Hand, dated March 30, 1979, attached hereto.

We now find that plaintiff has failed to make satisfactory arrangements with the reporter for payment of the transcript costs. Accordingly, plaintiff's applications for extension of time to file transcript and to docket appeal are hereby overruled and denied.

This court's clerk shall promptly notify plaintiff pursuant to Iowa R.App.P. 19 that he has failed to file the transcript with the trial court clerk within the time specified in rules 10(b) and 12(a) and has failed to pay the docket fee within the time specified in rule 12(a). If plaintiff fails to cure these defaults within 15 days (18 days if notices of defaults are served by mail) after service of the notices, the clerk shall dismiss this appeal pursuant to rule 19 for want of prosecution.

Plaintiff's motion to combine this appeal with others is hereby overruled and denied. This order is without prejudcie to plaintiff filing another motion to combine or consolidate this appeal with others, if and after he promptly cures the defaults specified above.

Plaintiff's motion to stay further pro-

ceedings is hereby overruled and denied because plaintiff has failed to timely make satisfactory arrangements with the court reporter for payment of transcript costs.

Plaintiff's motion for this court to order effective investigation of alleged coverup and concealment of criminal, fraudulent violations, felonies and conspiracy affecting and concerning all cases presently appealed is hereby overruled and denied because this court is without jurisdiction to order the relief requested.

Done this 9 day of April, 1979

/s/ Robert C. Allbee
Justice - Iowa Supreme Court

Copies to:

Royal D. Jennings
Route 2
Winfield, Iowa 52659

John C. Stevens
411 Laurel Building
Muscatine, Iowa 52761

Michael W. Hand
1008A Linden Heights #33
Mt. Pleasant, Iowa 52641

* * * * *

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF IOWA

ROYAL D. JENNINGS, FILED
Plaintiff-Appellant, APR 6 1979

vs.

J.M. JENNINGS) No. 2-62693
Defendant-Appellee) Louisa County no.15107

R.D. JENNINGS)
Plaintiff-Appellant) No. 2-62694
vs.) Louisa County no
E.A. HICKLIN, et al.,) 15208
Defendants-Appellees.)

These matters come to the court's attention upon the parties' various motions, responese and replies. We have considered all the pending motions and other relevant papers and now rule upon the motions pursuant to Iowa R.App.P. 22(d).

On November 3, 1978, plaintiff in Louisa County nos. 15107 and 15208 filed notices of appeal. On December 12, 1978, this court sustained plaintiff's motions for limited remand. On December 13, 1978, appeals numbered 2-62693 and 2-62694 were docketed. On December 27, 1978, this court's deputy clerk entered an order granting plaintiff forty days after trial court's ruling on plaintiff's motions to set aside defaults within which to file the transcripts ordered by plaintiff on or about November 3, 1978. It is further ordered that the appeals would be treated as docketed on the date the transcripts were filed.

On January 12, 1979, trial court filed orders in Louisa County nos. 15107 and 15208 overruled plaintiff's motions to set aside defaults.

On February 2, 1979, a combined transcript for Louisa County nos. 15107 and 15208 was filed with the district court clerk. Thus, the transcript was filed well within the time specified in the deputy clerk's order of December 12, 1978.

Defendant J.M. Jennings' motions to dismiss for failure to timely file the transcript are hereby overruled and denied.

Plaintiff in Louisa County nos. 15107 and 15208 filed on February 9, 1979, notices of appeal from trial court's orders filed January 12, 1979. On February 9, 1979, plaintiff filed by mail certificates stating that he had ordered transcripts on February 9, 1979.

On March 2, 1978, plaintiff in Louisa County nos. 15107 and 15208 filed notices of appeal from orders of the trial court filed February 2, 1979. On March 12, 1979, plaintiff filed by mail certificates stating he had ordered transcripts on March 12, 1979.

Defendant J.M. Jennings' motions to dismiss on grounds transcripts were not ordered within ten days after filing notices of appeal as required by Iowa R.App.P. 10(b) are hereby overruled and denied.

Plaintiff's appeals based upon notices of appeal filed November 3, 1978, were docketed on December 13, 1978. Pursuant to Iowa R.App. P. plaintiff's appeals based upon notices of appeal filed March 2 are due to be docketed on April 11, 1979. On March 10, 1979, plaintiff filed by mail motions which included requests for enlargement of time to docket.

Defendant J.M. Jennings' motions to dismiss on grounds plaintiff failed to timely pay the docket fees to docket the appeals pursuant to Iowa R.App.P. 12(a) are hereby overruled and denied.

The parties' motions to combine and consolidate matters now pending on appeal are hereby granted to the following extent: Plaintiff's appeals in Louisa County nos. 15107 and 15208 based upon notices of appeal filed November 3, 1978, February 9, 1979 and March 2, 1979, are hereby ordered consolidated for purposes of docketing, preparation of the appendix, briefing, and submission. Since docket fees have already been paid for appeals numbered 2-62693 and 2-62694, no further docket fees need be paid. Subsequent papers filed in these consolidated proceedings shall be captioned as shown above and shall bear the docket number 2-62693. Cf. Iowa R.App.P. 12(a) and 16(a) (2).

Plaintiff's motions for enlargement of

time to file transcripts and docket appeals is hereby granted tothe following extent: Plaintiff shall cause all transcripts for the appeals consolidated above to be filed with the district court clerk on or before June 6, 1979; times for further proceedings on appeal which are normally computed from docketing shall be computed from June 6, 1979.

Plaintiff's motions for this court to order effective investigations refer to "specific" officers of "specific" courts, and investigating agencies in federal, state and local government. However, plaintiff never names those "specific" officers or agencies. It does not appear those persons or agencies were parties in the district court. It does not appear that original notices or copies of plaintiff's motions were served on those persons or agencies. This is a court of limited appellate jurisdiction, not general jurisdiction. We are without jurisdiction to order the investigatory relief requested by plaintiff.

Plaintiff's motions for this court to order effective investigations of alleged coverup and concealment of criminal, fraudulent violations, felonies and conspiracy affecting and concerning all cases presently appealed from are hereby overruled and denied.

The matters raised in defendants' and intervenors' motions to strike and to dismiss

the latest notices of appeal have been considered and discussed above. The motions of defendant J.M. Jennings in Louisa County no. 15107 and defendants E.A. Hicklin, V.M. Jennings, Eileen Jennings, and intervenor Elm Grove Land, Inc., in Louisa Coumty no.15208 to strike and to dismiss the latest notices of appeal are hereby overruled and denied.

Done this o day of April, 1979.

/s/ Robert C Allbee
Justice - Iowa Supreme Court

Copies to:

Royal D. Jennings
Route 2
Winfield, Iowa 52659

John C. Stevens
411 Laurel Building
Muscatine, Iowa 52761

Thomas Daley, Jr.
600 Union Arcade Building
Davenport, Iowa 52801

* * * * *

NOTICES OF APPEAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATE

ROYAL D JENNINGS) IOWA SUPREME COURT #
Plaintiff-Appellant) 62943
vs)Henry County Iowa Dis-
ROBERT F. MOORE &)trict Court relevant
LARRY JENNINGS)associated case #7483
Defendant-Appellees)Appeal of verdict and

) subsequent judgment entry.

NOTICE OF APPEAL OF IOWA SUPREME COURT Adverse to Plaintiff-appellant parts of court order filed April 10, 1979 and to each and all other adverse to Plaintiff court and clerk thereof orders in said #7483--#2-62943 that effectively prevent appellate procedure in the Iowa Supreme Court.

* * * * *

ROYAL D. JENNINGS) IOWA SUPREME COURT
Plaintiff-Appellant) #62693 or #2-62693
vs) Louisa County Iowa
J.M. JENNINGS) District Court rele-
Defendant-Appellee) vant associated case
#15107 Appeal of Alleged Default Calendar entry.

NOTICE OF APPEAL OF IOWA SUPREME COURT adverse to Plaintiff-Appellant parts of court order filed April 6, 1979 and to each and all other adverse to Plaintiff, court and clerk thereof orders in said #15107--#62693 or#2-62693 that effectively prevent appellate procedure in the Iowa Supreme Court.

* * * * *

ROYAL D. JENNINGS) IOWA SUPREME COURT
Plaintiff-Appellant) #62694 or #2-62694
vs) Louisa County Iowa
V.M. JENNINGS & EILEEN) District Court Case

JENNINGS)#15208 Division I
Defendant-Appellees.)NOTICE OF APPEAL OF
there appears irreg-)IOWA SUPREME COURT
ular defendant and)ADVERSE TO Plaintiff-
court designation of)Appellant parts of
Defendant not part of)Court order filed
said division and av-)April 6, 1979 and to
oidance of designation)each and all other
of Division number I)adverse to Plaintiff
that designates that)court and clerk there
circumstance.)of orders in said
#15208 Division I--
#62694 or 2-62694 that effectively prevent
appellate procedure in the Iowa Supreme Court.

* * * * *

ROYAL D. JENNINGS) IOWA SUPREME COURT
Plaintiff-Appellant) #2-63077
vs)Louisa County Iowa Dis-
VIVAN M. JENNINGS)trict Court relevant
Defendant-Appellee)associated case #15429
NOTICE OF APPEAL OF IOWA SUPREME COURT ad-
verse to Plaintiff-Appellant parts of court
and clerk order and of ruling filed April 9
and 10, 1979 and to each and all other ad-
verse to Plaintiff court and clerk thereof
orders and rulings in said #15429--#2-63077
that effectively prevent appellate procedure
in the Iowa Supreme Court.

* * * * *

ROYAL D. JENNINGS)IOWA SUPREME COURT
Plaintiff-Appellant)further irregularly
vs)designated 15429 'B'
VIVAN M. JENNINGS)as their #2-63341
Defendant-Appellee)Louisa County Iowa
and irregularly alleged)District Court Plain-
by Defendant and court)tiff's amended Peti-
VIVAN M. JENNINGS)tion case alleged by
Cross-Petitioner)clerk and court per-
vs)sonell as 'B' only
ROYAL D. JENNINGS)petition but now ap-
Cross-Defendant)pearing irregularly
in Defendant-Appellee
and court documents as the number of differ-
ent petition and case number 15429
NOTICE OF APPEAL OF IOWA SUPREME COURT adverse
to Plaintiff-Appellant parts of court and
clerk thereof order filed April 10, 1979 and
to each and all other adverse to Plaintiff
court and clerk thereof orders and rulings
in said alphabetically designated 'B' peti-
tion said irregularly designated 15429 'B'
that effectively prevent appellate procedure
in the Iowa Supreme Court.

* * * * * * *

ROYAL D. JENNINGS) IOWA SUPREME COURT
Plaintiff-Appellant))Further irregularly
vs) designated 15429 'C'
VIVAN M. JENNINGS) as their #2-63341
Defendant-Appellee) Louisa County Iowa

and irregularly al-)District Court Plain-
leged by Defendant)tiff's amended petition
and court)of joinder of claims and
VIVAN M. JENNINGS)causes of action, etc.
Cross-Petitioner)alleged by clerk and
vs)court personell as 'C'
ROYAL D. JENNINGS)only petition but now
Cross-Defendant)appearing irregularly
in Defendant-Appellee

and court documents as the number of different petition and case number 15429.
NOTICE OF APPEAL OF IOWA SUPREME COURT Adverse to Plaintiff-Appellant parts of court and clerk thereof order filed April 10, 1979 and to each and all other adverse to Plaintiff court and clerk thereof orders and rulings in said alphabetically designated 'C' petition said irregularly designated 15429 'C' that effectively prevent appellate procedure in Iowa Supreme Court.

* * * * * *

Notice is hereby given that Royal D Jennings, (or R.D. Jennings), being the Plaintiff-Appellant above named in all cases notice of appeal to the United States Supreme Court, Washington, D.C. appealing from the Iowa Supreme Court Orders and clerk rulings dated April 6,9,10, 1979 respectively in all of the above entitled cases and appeals combined for appellate procedure of

cases appeals that are relevant and associated together and all alllegdly appearing affected by conspiracy continuing to the present time, the success of said conspiracy still being sought allegedly involving cover-up and concealment of criminal fraudulent violations of specific United States title 18 statutes sections 371, 656, 2113, 1005, 1001, and including title 28 statutes section 1343, 1257 and Iowa statutes and violations of United States Constitutional rights of Plaintiff's family, Plaintiff's late parents and Plaintiff; that said appeals from state court present grounds upon which Federal questions are substantial and that said state court has abused its discretion; that in proceedings arising in courts wherein the constitutionality of acts of congress affecting the public interest are drawn in question and this initial document recites that 28 U.S.C. 2403 may be applicable and shall be served upon the Solicitor General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530 and that Plaintiff-Appellant believes the courts have not pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2403 certified to the Attorney General the fact that the constitutionality of such acts of congress were drawn in question, that the validity of specific statutes of the United States are drawn in question by the highest court orders in which a decision could be had.

/s/
Royal D. Jennings, Plaintiff-Appellant
Route 2
Winfield, Iowa 52659

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the original and three copies of the foregoing notice of appeal to the United States Supreme Court, U.S. Supreme Court Clerk Office, Supreme Court Building, Washington, D.C. are filed with the office of the clerk of the Iowa Supreme Court on date of April 19, 1979, in person at 8:15 A.M.

/s/
Royal D. Jennings, Plaintiff-Appellant
Route 2, Winfield, Iowa 52659

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing notice of appeal to the United States Supreme Court is served upon Defendant-Appellees by depositing a copy thereof in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, in envelopes addressed to attorneys of record of parties herein at their respective addresses disclosed on the pleadings on date of April 19, 1979 as follows

Mssrs: John C.Stevens &David LaFontaine,
Attorneys Office
411 Laurel Building, Muscatine, Ia 52761
Carlin & Darbyshire

Mr. John Carlin & Mr. Vincent Petrucelli,
Attorneys office
419 Union Arcade Building, Davenport, Ia 52801

/s/
Royal D Jennings
Route 2, Winfield, Iowa 52659

A F F I D A V I T

State of Iowa
Henry County

I, Royal D. Jennings, Plaintiff-Appellant, being first duly sworn on oath depose and state in the following certificates of service, that the undersigned certifies that 40 original and clearly duplicated copies of jurisdictional statement w/ appended copies of judgment orders decree and notice of appeal attached thereto and docketing fee check for $100 payable to U.S. Supreme Court Clerk for appellate procedure in the United States Supreme Court, are filed in the U.S. Supreme Court Clerk Office, Supreme Court Building, Washington, D.C. 20543 by enclosing same in an envelope addressed thereto, postage prepaid, first class mail, depositing in the U.S. mail on date of Sept. 24, 1979 a copy of Jurisdictional Statement with appended copies of judgment orders decrees and notice of appeal attached thereto as required is mailed to Solicitor General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530 by enclosing in an envelope addressed thereto, postage prepaid, first class mail, and depositing in U.S. mail on Sept. 24, 1979

Royal D. Jennings
Royal D. Jennings
Route 2, Winfield, Iowa 52659

The undersigned also, by the above affidavit, certifies that a copy of the foregoing Jurisdictional Statement w/appended copies of judgment orders decree and notice of appeal in appellate procedure in the U.S. Supreme Court is served upon Defendant-Appellees by enclosing a copy thereof in an envelope addressed to attorneys of record of parties herein at their respective addresses disclosed on the pleadings, postage prepaid, first class mail and depositing in U.S. mail on date of Sept. 24, 1979 as follows:

John Carlin, Darbyshire, Vincent Petrucelli
419 Union Arcade Building
Davenport, Iowa 52801

John C Stevens. David LaFontaine
411 Laurel Building
Muscatine, Iowa 52761

Royal D. Jennings
Royal D Jennings
Route 2, Winfield, Iowa

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and for Henry County, Iowa on Sept 24 1979

Roger K. May